Welcome to fall, my favorite season. Living in the North East I love watching the leaves changing colors and I look forward to the upcoming hunting season; sorry for all you non-hunters. Since the next newsletter may be out after the Holidays, I want to wish you an early Happy Holidays.

Congratulations to all the individuals that passed the August Exam. I hope that being a registered technician will help your career and that it will motivate you to advance your education. Since the material and study habits used in preparing for the exam are still fresh, I ask that you take the time to assist others who may be preparing for the next exam. They will remember the help you provide.

The industry has a very interesting year coming up. Based on the current information from the federal government, the ARRA (Stimulus) money may not be extended. So it could stop part way through next year. What this means is that the Department of Energy (DOE) may have to lay off many of the radiological staff hired over the past two years using the stimulus money. Over this period the market has favored the technicians and Engineers, but that may change. Put yourself in the best available position for the coming years. It is important to work on, not only your education, but also your reputation.

In an effort to make members of the registry more marketable, the Board and Panel has been working over the years to help with individuals education by providing scholarship money, working on
Welcome New Members

Congratulations to the following individuals who successfully passed the NRRPT February 20, 2010 examination. These individuals were mistakenly omitted from the Summer 2010 edition -- sorry for the error!:

Christopher V. Antimary  
James C. Baker  
Dawn D. Bennett  
Daniel C. Blomquist

Gregory T. Bright  
Julie H. Brown  
Tammy E. Cagle  
Richard Cantwell

Congratulations to the following individuals who successfully passed the NRRPT August 7, 2010 examination:

John Aloi, Jr.  
Matthew F. Aubert  
Bachir A. Badaoui  
John E. Bako  
Thomas J. Bladow  
Gary Briggs  
Emily A. Brown  
Charles J. Burroughs, III  
William J. Duncan  
Todd C. Echeverria

Kori R. Escujuri  
Killian M. Fischer  
Tommy R. Fontaine  
Jeffry C. Hawkins  
James S. Horne  
Charles M. Johnson  
David M. Kershman  
Rhonda D. Long  
Thomas A. Machacek

Thatcher K. Neitzer  
Diane M. Rasch  
James H. Reese  
Benjamin J. Rhoades  
Stephen P. Richardson  
Jeffrey W. Roberts  
Ky E. Rowberry  
Glenn D. Sawtelle  
Adam J. Stavola  
Stanley Walker

New Members: If you do not have access to the "Members Only" portion of the website, please contact the Executive Secretary (nrrpt@nrrpt.org). Your email address must be on file in order for you to gain access.
Overview of the Radiation Survey and Site Investigation (RSSI) Process
by Tom Hansen

Abstract

Tom Hansen is the Corporate Radiation Safety Officer of Ameriphysics, LLC, and an expert in the field of decommissioning. He is a sought after speaker on the subject and has provided training on the MARSSIM survey approach to hundreds of US and international students including health physicists, site managers, and regulators.

The Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM), NUREG-1575, provides a standardized, consensus approach for demonstrating compliance against a dose- or risk-based regulatory release criterion. Central to this approach is the RSSI process, which is comprised of investigations and surveys that are conducted at potentially contaminated sites. Each step of the process has specific goals and objectives to support a final decision regarding whether or not a site or facility complies with the appropriate regulations.

Once a site is identified, there are six principal steps of the RSSI Process. Excerpted directly from MARSSIM, these steps are summarized as follows.

Historical Site Assessment

The primary purpose of the Historical Site Assessment (HSA) is to collect existing information concerning the site and its surroundings.

The primary objectives of the HSA are to:

- identify potential sources of contamination
- determine whether or not sites pose a threat to human health and the environment
- differentiate impacted from non-impacted areas
- provide input to scoping and characterization survey designs
- provide an assessment of the likelihood of contaminant migration
- identify additional potential radiation sites related to the site being investigated

The HSA typically consists of three phases: identification of a candidate site, preliminary investigation of the facility or site, and site visits or inspections. The HSA is followed by an evaluation of the site based on information collected during the HSA.

Scoping Survey

If the data collected during the HSA indicate an area is impacted, a scoping survey could be performed. Scoping surveys provide site-specific information based on limited measurements.

The primary objectives of a scoping survey are to:
perform a preliminary hazard assessment

- support classification of all or part of the site as a Class 3 area
- evaluate whether the survey plan can be optimized for use in the characterization or final status surveys
- provide input to the characterization survey design if necessary

Scoping surveys are conducted after the HSA is completed and consist of judgment measurements based on the HSA data. If the results of the HSA indicate that an area is Class 3 and no contamination is found, the area may be classified as Class 3 and a Class 3 final status survey is performed. If the scoping survey locates contamination, the area may be considered as Class 1 (or Class 2) for the final status survey and a characterization survey is typically performed.

**Characterization Survey**

If an area could be classified as Class 1 or Class 2 for the final status survey, based on the HSA and scoping survey results, a characterization survey is warranted. The characterization survey is planned based on the HSA and scoping survey results. This type of survey is a detailed radiological environmental characterization of the area.

The primary objectives of a characterization survey are to:

- determine the nature and extent of the contamination
- collect data to support evaluation of remedial alternatives and technologies
- evaluate whether the survey plan can be optimized for use in the final status survey
- support Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study requirements
- provide input to the final status survey design

The characterization survey is the most comprehensive of all the survey types and generates the most data. This includes preparing a reference grid, systematic as well as judgment measurements, and surveys of different media (e.g., surface soils, interior and exterior surfaces of buildings). The decision as to which media will be surveyed is a site-specific decision addressed throughout the Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Process.

**Remedial Action Support Survey**

If an area is adequately characterized and is contaminated above the derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs), a decontamination plan should be prepared. A remedial action support survey is performed while remediation is being conducted, and guides the cleanup in a real-time mode.

Remedial action support surveys are conducted to:

- support remediation activities
- determine when a site or survey unit is ready for the final status survey
- provide updated estimates of site-specific parameters used for planning the final status survey

The determination that a survey unit is ready for a final status survey following remediation is an important step in the RSSI Process. In addition, remedial activities result in changes to the distribution of contamination within the survey
unit. For most survey units, the site-specific parameters used during final status survey planning (e.g., variability in the radionuclide concentration, probability of small areas of elevated activity) will need to be reestablished following remediation. Obtaining updated values for these critical parameters should be considered when planning a remedial action support survey.

**Final Status Survey**

The final status survey is used to demonstrate compliance with regulations. This type of survey is the major focus of MARSSIM.

The primary objectives of the final status survey are to:

- select/verify survey unit classification
- demonstrate that the potential dose or risk from residual contamination is below the release criterion for each survey unit
- demonstrate that the potential dose or risk from small areas of elevated activity is below the release criterion for each survey unit
- The final status survey provides data to demonstrate that all radiological parameters satisfy the established guideline values and conditions.

Although the final status survey is discussed as if it were an activity performed at a single stage of the site investigation process, this does not have to be the case. Data from other surveys conducted during the Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Process—such as scoping, characterization, and remedial action support surveys—can provide valuable information for planning a final status survey provided they are of sufficient quality.

Professional judgment and biased sampling are important for locating contamination and characterizing the extent of contamination at a site. However, the MARSSIM focus is on planning the final status survey which utilizes a more systematic approach to sampling. Systematic sampling is based on rules that endeavor to achieve the representativeness in sampling consistent with the application of statistical tests.

**Regulatory Agency Confirmation and Verification**

The regulatory agency responsible for the site often confirms whether the site is acceptable for release. This confirmation may be accomplished by the agency or an impartial party. Although some actual measurements may be performed, much of the work required for confirmation and verification will involve evaluation and review of documentation and data from survey activities.

The evaluation may include site visits to observe survey and measurement procedures or split sample analyses by the regulatory agency’s laboratory. Therefore, accounting for confirmation and verification activities during the planning stages is important to each type of survey. In some cases, post-remedial sampling and analysis may be performed by an impartial party. The review of survey results should include verifying that the data quality objectives are met, reviewing the analytical data used to demonstrate compliance, and verifying that the statistical test results support the decision to release the site. Confirmation and verification are generally ongoing processes throughout the RSSI Process.
A General Review of Health Physics Calculations
By Augustinus Ong

The purpose of this review, in the format of questions and answers, is to remind ourselves of some of the basic aspects of health physics calculations.

(1) A 35 gram of $^{59}$Co is placed in a reactor’s core and it is exposed to a neutron beam, whose flux is $10^5$ neutrons/cm² sec. The cross section for $^{59}$Co is 36 barns. The half life is 5.3 years. What is the activity of the $^{59}$Co sample after 2 years of constant exposure? What is the maximum activity of this sample? When does the sample activity reach 75% of its maximum activity?

Answer:

a. Calculate the activity, in bequerels, of the $^{59}$Co sample after 2 years of constant exposure:

$$N = \text{number of atoms}$$
$$N = \left(\text{sample weight}\right) \times \left(6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms/gram-atomic mass}\right) / \left(\text{sample atomic weight}\right)$$
$$N = 35 \text{ g} \times \left(6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms/gram-atomic mass}\right) / \left(59 \text{ g/atom-atomic mass}\right)$$
$$N = 5.93 \times 10^{22} \text{ atoms}$$

The activity of a sample bombarded for a time $t$, assuming no radioactivity when $t = 0$, is calculated as

$$A = \phi N \sigma \left(1 - e^{-\frac{0.693}{T_{1/2}}t}\right)$$

where

$A =$ Activity
$\phi =$ average neutron flux
$\sigma =$ absorption cross section
$t =$ duration of exposure
$T_{1/2} =$ half life

$$A = \left(10^5 \text{ neutrons/cm}^2 \text{ sec}\right) \times \left(5.93 \times 10^{22} \text{ atoms}\right) \times \left(36 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2\right) \times$$
$$\left[1 - e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}2 \text{ years}}\right]$$
$$A = 4.89 \times 10^4 \text{ Bq}$$

b. Calculate the maximum activity of this sample:

When the bombardment time $t$ is greater than $T_{1/2}$, the above equation can be reduced to

$$A_{\text{max}} = \phi N \sigma$$

$$A_{\text{max}} = \left(10^5 \text{ neutrons/cm}^2 \text{ sec}\right) \times \left(5.93 \times 10^{22} \text{ atoms}\right) \times \left(36 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2\right)$$

$$A_{\text{max}} = 2.13 \times 10^6 \text{ Bq}$$
c. Calculate time \( t \) the sample activity reaches 75% of its maximum activity:

\[
A_{\text{max}} \text{ (% of maximum activity)} = A_{\text{max}} \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{0.693}{T_{1/2}}} t \right] \\
(2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) \times (0.75) = (2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) \times \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}} t \right] \\
1.59 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq} = (2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) - (2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) \times e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}} t \\
(2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) \times e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}} t = (2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq}) - 1.59 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq} \\
e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}} t = 5.40 \times 10^4 \text{ Bq} / 2.13 \times 10^5 \text{ Bq} \\
e^{-\frac{0.693}{5.3 \text{ years}}} t = 0.25 \\
0.131 t = 1.39 \\
t = 10.9 \text{ years to reach 75\% of the maximum activity}
\]

(2) A collimated beam of 10,000 mono-energetic photons, \( I_0 \), is decreased to half of the number of photons, \( I \), after penetrating through a 0.04 m thick copper plate. What is the total linear attenuation coefficient of the copper plate for this beam?

What are the atomic (\( \mu_a \)), electronic (\( \mu_e \)), and total mass (\( \mu_m \)) attenuation coefficients of the copper plate (density, \( \rho \), is 9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/m}^3; atomic weight, \( M \), is 63.6; and \( Z \) for copper is 29)?

What is the thickness \( x_e \) in electrons per m² for the 0.04 m copper plate?

Answer:

a. Calculate the total linear attenuation coefficient, \( \mu \), of the copper plate:

\[
I = I_o e^{-\mu x} \\
I / I_o = e^{-\mu x} \\
\ln (I_o / I) = \mu x \\
\mu = \ln (I_o / I) \\
\mu = \ln (10,000 \text{ photons} / 5,000 \text{ photons}) / 0.04 \text{ m} \\
\mu = 17.3 \text{ m}^{-1}
\]

b. Calculate the atomic (\( \mu_a \)), electronic (\( \mu_e \)), and total mass (\( \mu_m \)) attenuation coefficients of the copper plate:

\[
\mu_a = \mu M / \rho N \\
= (17.3 \text{ m}^{-1}) \times (63.6 \text{ g/gram-atomic mass}) \times (10^{-3} \text{ kg/g}) / (9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/m}^3) \times (6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms/gram-atomic mass}) \\
= 2.03 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}^2/\text{atom}
\]
Erratum:

In the last issue in “A General Review of Health Physics Calculations” by Augustinus Ong, the correct answer for Question No. 3 is \( r_1 = 2 \text{ m} \).

\[ \mu_e = \frac{\mu_a}{Z} \]
\[ = \frac{(2.03 \times 10^{-28} \text{ m}^2/\text{atom})}{(29 \text{ electrons/atom})} \]
\[ = 7.0 \times 10^{-26} \text{ m}^2/\text{electron} \]

\[ \mu_m = \frac{\mu}{r} \]
\[ = \frac{17.3 \text{ m}^{-1}}{9 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3} \]
\[ = 0.0019 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg} \]

c. Calculate the thickness \( x_e \) in electrons per m\(^2\) for the 0.04 m copper plate:

\[ x_e = x_a Z \]
\[ = (x N_a \rho Z) / M \]
\[ = [(0.04m) (6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms/gram-atomic mass}) (9 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3) (29 \text{ electrons/atom})] / [(63.3g/\text{gram-atomic mass}) (10^{-3} \text{kg/g})] \]
\[ = 9.88 \times 10^{28} \text{ electrons/ m}^3 \]

Testing, testing...

By Todd Davidson

Welcome again to the feature “Testing, testing.” As stated previously, this feature will present test questions as well as general test-taking strategies and advice. Please share the questions and solutions with other workers in the field who have not passed the NRRPT test.

Problem

How many half-value layers of shielding are required to reduce a radiation field of 300 R/h at 30 cm from an object such that a member of the public may work for 40 hours next to the object?
Sample and Analysis Protocol for Field Measurements of $^{14}$C in Gaseous Effluents from Nuclear Power Plants

By James R. Holtzclaw, Ph.D., C.I.H.

For many years $^{14}$C was not included in gaseous and liquid effluent measurements used for effluent dose calculations. However, recent revision of USNRC Regulatory Guide 1.21 has focused attention on $^{14}$C and its impact on nuclear plants’ effluent releases. Based on the revisions to the Regulatory Guide and NRC guidance to licensees, $^{14}$C activity will need to be reported and evaluated for dose contribution based on the chemical form of the $^{14}$C in the release. While $^{14}$C releases may be estimated, the measurement of actual $^{14}$C emissions provides a more reliable and accurate means of reporting facility emissions. To this end, The GEL Group, Inc. has developed and tested a sample collection and analysis methodology for measurement of $^{14}$C in gaseous effluents.

A successful $^{14}$C sampling and analysis protocol must meet several requirements:

- be able to capture and differentiate between organic $^{14}$C, inorganic $^{14}$C, and particulate $^{14}$C
- be able to capture and accurately analyze $^{14}$C over a wide range of activity
- be sufficiently adaptable so that samples can be collected from gas decay tanks, containment atmosphere, plant vent effluent, and other areas of interest
- be able to handle atmospheres that are potentially flammable and/or have low oxygen content
- have sufficient analytical sensitivity so that samples can be collected in practical sample times
- be free from interference by other radionuclides,
- employ hardware that can be reliably and conveniently transported to facilities

SAMPLE COLLECTION

A sampling system that can meet the above requirements is diagramed in Figure 1. The system consists of a particulate filter followed by two separate parallel sampling pathways. The top pathway contains a desiccant for adsorbing water (including any tritiated water), an adsorbent for capturing CO$_2$ and $^{14}$CO$_2$, a flow meter, and an air sample pump. This pathway will collect $^{14}$CO$_2$ (inorganic $^{14}$C) but not organic $^{14}$C. The bottom pathway is identical to the top pathway except that it has an organic carbon-to-CO$_2$ converter. The converter is used to oxidize organic $^{14}$C to $^{14}$CO$_2$, which can then be captured by the CO$_2$ adsorbent. Thus the bottom pathway collects both organic $^{14}$C and $^{14}$CO$_2$. The difference between the amounts of $^{14}$C adsorbed in the two pathways is the amount of organic $^{14}$C present in the effluent gas stream.

Proper operation of the $^{14}$C sampling system requires a high efficiency for the organic carbon-to-CO$_2$ conversion as well as quantitative collection of CO$_2$ on the CO$_2$ adsorbent. The performance of the converter was determined by measuring the conversion efficiency of methane to CO$_2$. The conversion efficiency was found to be >95% over a sample flow rate of 300 milliliters (ml) per minute up to 3 liters per minute (lpm). No reduction in conversion efficiency was observed as a function of methane concentration or flowrate.

The collection efficiency for the CO$_2$ adsorber was determined by measuring the adsorption of CO$_2$ in ambient air. The collection efficiency was found to be >95% for a sample flow rate range of 300 ml per minute up to 3 lpm. Additionally, the >95% collection efficiency was maintained over a sample period of 7 hours.

The amount of adsorber used in the tube is greatly oversized to ensure that the CO$_2$ in the sample gas stream is quantitatively adsorbed. On a theoretical basis, sufficient adsorber is present to sample for > 200 hours.
SAMPLE ANALYSIS

GEL Laboratories has extensive experience in analyzing $^{14}$C in a variety of matrices. The method selected for analysis has proven rugged and adaptable when tested on difficult materials. The method employs the wet oxidation of carbon compounds to volatile CO$_2$ which is sparged through a dilute acid solution for trapping tritiated water, which may be present in the sample. After sparging through dilute acid, the CO$_2$ is trapped in a sorbing solution which is added to a liquid scintillation cocktail and finally counted in a liquid scintillation counter. For the samples collected during this study, the $^{14}$C is already in the CO$_2$ form adsorbed onto the Ascarite column. The Ascarite is added to the digestion flask (see Figure 2) and the CO$_2$ distilled by acidifying the Ascarite.

MARLAP guidance was followed for the validation of the method according to performance based criteria. $^{14}$C standards with a range of activity traceable to NIST were used to validate the performance of the sample analysis methodology, sample collection, and analysis procedure. Results are summarized in Table 1. Additional performance parameters are included as Table 2.

Figure 1. $^{14}$C Sampling Train

![Diagram of $^{14}$C Sampling Train]
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Figure 2. Carbon 14 Laboratory Distillation Apparatus

Table 1 - $^{14}$C VALIDATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Average Spike Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Analysis: 10 samples at 72 pCi</td>
<td>95 ± 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Sample Collection and Analysis Procedure</td>
<td>93 ± 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 samples over the range of 72 – 10468 pCi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Equipment Performance Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic Carbon to CO₂ Conversion Efficiency</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Capture Efficiency</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}$C Measurement Range (to Date)</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-11}$ to $4 \times 10^{-3}$ uCi/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Rate (typical, other sample rates can be used)</td>
<td>0.1 - 3 liters per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements</td>
<td>120V 20 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Interface</td>
<td>uses an interface adaptor that can appropriately extract sample gas from plant systems under a wide range of plant gas flow and pressure conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on page 19
Professional Pool  
By Todd Davidson

As I complete my current project and transition into my next job, I have been struck by the importance of the administration of a project or a program, particularly for some of the more time consuming tasks. From my experience facing the challenges on this project I have learned and grown. Hopefully, those challenges can be avoided/reduced in the future by designing a proactive program. I hope to hear from you with your own bit of wisdom, whether it be from horror stories or unparalleled successes.

Problem
The administration of a radiological protection program can be difficult, but there is a chaos at the beginning – and most particularly at the end – of a project. What is the most challenging problem that you or your colleagues have faced at the end of a project? Be as specific or as broad as you would like when listing the problem. If possible, include the solutions, or even failed attempts, that were used to overcome the problem.

Please contact me with your input directly so that I may sort through and include details for presenting in the next edition of the NRRPT Newsletter.

You may contact me at t-davidson@sbcglobal.net. Please note “Professional Pool” in the subject line.

Response to "Interview With A Chairman"
Summer Issue

Hi friends at NRRPT,

I just read the subject article with pleasure and memories and thought that I would just let you know about it. The article said that there were 83 of us that sat for the first exam and 62 of us that passed that first exam. I just want to add a little more to the background about the start of the Registry. At the time (1974-1975) there was a growing consensus among employers, technicians and alert entrepreneurs about the desirability and need for a standard acceptable registry or similar body that could test and certify a technician’s competency. This would "weed out" some of the riff-raff that was getting into the industry through contract companies that would hire anybody they could find (from gas station workers to seasonal migrant workers) and calling them qualified technicians.

I had already been in the industry several years when this interest in a registry began. I was watching the developments closely. For about 2 to 4 years there were four or five entities that proposed they were starting such a registry. But in the shuffle for first place the NRRPT emerged as the leader and, to my knowledge, the rest of them went by the wayside and did not survive.

Today, I am proud to be one of the original 62 registered technologists in the Registry. I have written a few articles for the newsletter in the past called "Musings Of An Old RPT". I commend Mr. Todd Davidson for a good, well-written and interesting article. It did stir some memories and names that I recall.

Just an old RPT,
Maynard Wright
ENERGIZE YOUR CAREER AND JOIN THE BARTLETT TEAM!

As the largest provider of radiation safety technicians to the commercial nuclear power industry and Department of Energy facilities, Bartlett offers over 4,000 Junior & Senior Radiation Safety opportunities annually.

- Be a part of the “nuclear renaissance!” Now is the time to experience nuclear industry growth and exciting new opportunities with Bartlett
- Flexible assignments ideal for varied backgrounds – including industry retirees or those with Department of Energy experience
- Competitive compensation & benefits, paid travel & living expenses
- Training programs & career development for entry level positions
- Flexible project locations in 35 states nationwide
- Short term & temporary assignments available

To inquire about opportunities please contact:
(800) 225-0385, then press # and 2 when prompted for our recruiting team
Send resumes to*: nuclear@bartlettinc.com  ¨ Fax (508) 746-8588
(*Please reference NRRPT when sending in your resume)

60 Industrial Park Road, Plymouth, MA 02360 | www.bartlettinc.com

Southern California Edison

Richard Davis, RPM
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92672
(949) 368-7780
Richard.L.Davis@sce.com

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station is proud to have over 30 registered NRRPT members in our Health Physics, Training, Chemistry, Engineering, Operations, Oversight, and Maintenance organizations. We are especially proud that Kelli Gallion of our HP Planning group was a member of the Panel of Examiners, Board of Directors, and was formerly the NRRPT Chairman.

San Onofre is a three unit site with two operating 1170 MWe Combustion Engineering reactors and one early Westinghouse unit in decommissioning. The station is located in Southern California on the Pacific Ocean and midway between San Diego and Los Angeles.
AmerenUE-Callaway Plant

Bob Farnam
P.O. Box 620
Fulton, MO 65251
(573) 676-8784
(573) 676-4484 (fax)
refarnam@cal.ameren.com
www.ameren.com

Among the nation’s top utility companies in size and sales, Ameren is the parent of AmerenUE, based in St. Louis, MO, and AmerenCIPS, based in Springfield, IL. Ameren is also parent to several nonregulated trading, marketing, investment and energy-related subsidiaries. Ameren employees, totaling approximately 7,400, provide energy services to 1.5 million electric and 300,000 natural gas customers over 44,500 square miles in Illinois and Missouri.

Biodex Medical Systems

Ann Marie Alessi
20 Ramsey Road
Shirley, NY 11967
(800) 224-6339
(631) 924-9241 (fax)
info@biodex.com
www.biodex.com

Biodex Medical Systems manufactures and distributes radiation shielding, protection and detection products for nuclear medicine, diagnostic imaging and radiation safety. Product offering ranges from syringe shields, lead-lined cabinets and PET shipping systems to survey meters, Thyroid Uptake and their new line of Atomlab Dose Calibrators and Wipe Test Counters. Call to request a catalog or visit their website at www.biodex.com.

Cabrera Services

473 Silver Lane
East Hartford, CT 06118
860-569-0095
860-569-0277 (fax)
info@cabreraservices.com
www.cabreraservices.com

Cabrera Services, Inc (CABRERA) is an industry leader in engineering and remediation services for radioactive, hazardous, toxic, and MEC/UXO sites. Since its founding, CABRERA has distinguished itself through innovative problem solving, dedication to our clients’ interests, and top quality deliverables. Safety and regulatory compliance are key corporate values and are integral to every aspect of our work. This approach is reflected in our consistent safety record that is among the best in the industry.

Canberra Industries

Tammy Pattison
800 Research Pkwy
Meriden, CT 06450
(800) 243-3955
(203) 235-1347 (fax)
tpattison@canberra.com
www.canberra.com

Radiation measurement, detection and monitoring equipment. Alpha and gamma spectroscopy systems, portal monitors, personal contamination monitors, trucks, vehicle monitors, survey meters, personal dosimeters, specialty research HPGE detectors.
Detroit Edison Fermi 2

Mark Lawson
6400 N. Dixie Hwy
Newport, MI 48182
(734) 586-1825
(734) 586-1883 (fax)
lawsonm@dteenergy.com
www.dteenergy.com

Detroit Edison operates the Fermi 2 Nuclear Power Plant located in Monroe, MI along the shores of Lake Erie. Fermi is a 1200 MW power plant supplying electricity to the metropolitan Detroit area. Fermi’s USA Supplier of the Year TLD lab provides dosimetry services to USA facilities and other non-power plant entities.

Duke Energy Corporation

Larry Haynes
526 S. Church Street, Box 1006, MS-EC07F
Charlotte, NC 28201
(704) 382-4481
(704) 382-3797 (fax)
lehaynes@duke-energy.com
www.dukepower.com

Duke Power provides safe, reliable and economical power to the Carolinas. We deliver electricity to more than 2 million customers – balancing the region’s growing electricity needs with care for the environment and the communities we serve. We currently operate seven reactors and are proud to support the NRRPT.

Incorporated in 1983, Frham Safety Products, Inc. continues its sole purpose of manufacturing and distributing products to the Nuclear Power Utilities, DOE, DOD, Naval facilities as well as several industrial accounts and related users of safety supplies and equipment.

From the creators of proven products such as the Totes Overshoe and the Frham Tex II, Frham continues their objective to provide products and services which meet or exceed the specifications set forth by customers and the industries that it serves. These revolutionary new concepts include Life Cycle Cost Management (LCCM), Mobile Outage System Trailer (MOST) and Certified Disposable Products (CDP).

- LCCM offers products through a systematic approach of life cycle pricing to include disposal at the purchase point.
- MOST provides onsite product storage stocked systematically specified by the customer for easy access and stringent inventory control.
- CDP consists of proven disposables for every application which includes standard and custom specifications to meet your disposable needs.

Among these services and products, Frham also supplies chemical, biological and radiological equipment which will support applications for domestic, biological, nuclear, radiological or high explosive incident sites. This equipment is able to sample, detect and identify chemical warfare agents and radiological materials as well as provide safe-barrier, personal protection from chemical warfare, biological warfare, radiological and TIC/TIM environments.
General Engineering Laboratories, LLC

Bob Wills
2040 Savage Road
Charleston, SC 29407
(843) 906-5929
(843) 766-1178 (fax)
robert.wills@gel.com
www.gel.com

GEL provides the nuclear industry with radiochemistry, bioassay and analytical chemistry support. GEL is a provider of 10CFR61, REMP and hazardous waste characterization to commercial nuclear reactor sites, DOE sites and DOD facilities throughout the US. For information regarding analytical services please contact Bob Wills.

Louisiana Energy Services

Brenda Brooks
P.O. Box 1789
Eunice, NM 88231
(575) 394-0156
(575) 394-0175 (fax)
bbrooks@nefnm.com
www.nefnm.com

On June 23, 2006, LES made history when the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, for the first time, issued a license to construct and operate a gas centrifuge uranium enrichment plant to be known as the National Enrichment Facility, located in Lea County, New Mexico.

LES is owned by Urenco, an independent, global energy and technology group with plants in Germany, The Netherlands and the United Kingdom. LES will use the world’s most advanced, energy-efficient and cost effective uranium enrichment technology developed by Urenco. The technology has been used in Europe for over 30 years. The National Enrichment Facility will be on-line in 2009, and will be the only facility of its kind in North America.

Our focus is on providing safe, cost effective and reliable uranium enrichment services for US power plants within a framework of high environmental, social and corporate responsibility standards.

HARVARD SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

The Center for Continuing Professional Education invites you to attend one of our upcoming continuing education programs:

Management and Leadership Skills for Environmental Health and Safety Professionals
March 28–30, 2011

Principles and Practices of Radiation Safety: Occupational and Environmental Radiation Protection
April 25–28, 2011

Radiation Safety Officer Training for Laboratory Professionals
June 6–10, 2011

*dates subject to change

To register or for more information on any of our CE programs: Visit: https://ccpe.sph.harvard.edu/nrrpt
Call: 617-384-8692 or
Email: contedu@hsph.harvard.edu

Master-Lee Decon Services

Robert Burns
430 Miller Road
Medford, NJ 08055
(609) 654-6161
(609) 654-1404 (fax)
burns-ml@comcast.net

Master-Lee is a leading supplier of refueling, maintenance, inspection, operations and outage management services for PWR Nuclear Power Plants in the U.S. Market and has supported the major NSSS companies in the performance of similar tasks at BWR sites. Master-Lee also designs, fabricates and supplies specialty products, tools and parts in support of our various product lines. These capabilities are provided by our broad range of Product Lines: Refueling and Related Services; Pump and Motor Services; NDE – Eddy Current Testing Services; Specialized Reactor Services; Decontamination Services; Decommissioning Services; Engineered Products; and Technical Services.
MGP Instruments

Audrey Summers
5000 Highlands Parkway, Ste 150
Smyrna, GA 30082
(770) 432-2744
(770) 432-9179 (fax)
asummers@mgpi.com
www.mgpi.com

MGP Instruments designs, develops, markets and supports operational survey equipment and measurement systems. We are #1 in North America in electronic dosimetry, offering a broad spectrum of detection/protection devices and products for virtually any need. We are also recognized for our outstanding customer support.

MJW Technical Services

William Schaper
243 Root Street, Ste 100
Olean, NY 14760
(716) 372-5300
(716) 372-5307 (fax)
bill.schaper@mjwts.com
www.mjwts.com

MJW Technical Services, Inc. provides high quality and timely calibrations and repairs for all types of radiation detection equipment. MJWTS is the Ludlum Measurements Authorized Eastern U.S. Service Center, SAIC Dosimeter Service Center and the Wm B. Johnson Authorized Service Center. In addition to instrument calibrations, MJWTS is the Northeastern sales distributor for Wm B. Johnson instruments. Check out our website at www.mjwts.com or call toll free 1-866-300-3659 for more information.

RADeCO

Brad Lovendale
509 Norwich Avenue
Taftville, CT 06380
(860) 823-1220
(860) 823-1521 (fax)
www.radecoinc.com

For over 25 years, RADeCO has set the standard for air sampling in the nuclear industry. We supply the highest quality air sampling equipment, filter media, and sampling cartridges. We also provide a full range of calibration, repair service, and spare parts for all your air sampling and air flow measurement equipment. In addition to being an NRRPT Corporate Sponsor, RADeCO offers special discounts to the NRRPT membership.

Now Available!
The Gollnick Book
Fifth Edition, 2006
www.pacificrad.com
(626) 798-8100

Pacific Radiation Corporation
2945 Stonehill Drive
Altadena, CA 91001

For over 25 years, RADeCO has set the standard for air sampling in the nuclear industry. We supply the highest quality air sampling equipment, filter media, and sampling cartridges. We also provide a full range of calibration, repair service, and spare parts for all your air sampling and air flow measurement equipment. In addition to being an NRRPT Corporate Sponsor, RADeCO offers special discounts to the NRRPT membership.
F&J Specialty Products, Inc.

F&J is the premier manufacturer of traditional and microprocessor controlled air sampling systems, airflow calibrators, tritium collection systems and lightweight battery powered emergency response air samplers, including portable beta continuous air monitors. F&J is the world's largest manufacturer of TEDA impregnated charcoal and silver zeolite cartridges for radioiodine collection. Product lines include radon detection products, filter media and personal air samplers.

Instruments comply with North American ANSI/UL or European Union safety standards. Contact: Frank Gavila (352) 680-1177

Hi-Q Environmental Product Company

HI-Q Environmental Products Co. is ready to help with your stack sampling requirements: State and Federal nuclear regulatory agencies require a stack discharge sampling program as part of the licensing process. Radionuclides discharged to the air in the form of particulate and volatile compounds must be assayed. Therefore, nuclear facilities are required to follow standard protocol for sampling their effluent. Possible emission of radionuclides to the general public has to be monitored in a systematic and acceptable manner. In the U.S., the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has the authority over such matters, and the current requirements and guidelines for sampling in nuclear stacks and ducts are laid down in ANSI N13.1 1999. Contact: Marc Held (858) 549-2820
Continued from page 11

SUMMARY

GEL has developed a procedure for sampling and analysis of $^{14}$C in gaseous effluents. A prototype sampling device was constructed and its performance validated in accordance with MARLAP guidance. The sample collection and analysis procedure allows for differentiation and quantitation of organic, inorganic, and particulate forms of $^{14}$C. This protocol can also accommodate a wide range of $^{14}$C activities. Sample collection times are dependent upon the $^{14}$C concentration in the gas system sampled and sample flowrate through the sampling device. Practical sample times range from 0.5 hours to more than 10 hours. Current results indicate that a sample time of 2 hours or less is sufficient in most circumstances (for example: plant vents, waste gas decay tanks, containment atmospheres, etc).

The sample collection device has been deployed to several nuclear power plants where gaseous effluent samples were collected and subsequently transported to our laboratory for analysis. Measured $^{14}$C activities and ratios of organic to inorganic $^{14}$C are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.

Watch for these Articles in our Next NRRPT News:

- "Correction for Radon/Thoron Progeny in Savannah River Site Air Samples"
- "NRRPT has a New Logo!"
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